App F IX-4

Previous References

1936.
(May)

File No. 146-36
Serial Nos. 1 – 9

Letter References
Tiring Sep 27-5-38

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for May 19 38

SUBJECT.

Petition of V. Shanmuna, Sankankotti appealing against the order of the Tuticorin Court in the matter the lease of lands belonging to the temple of Sri Mahadeswara Swami in Malagal village.

No 1484 of 23-5-38 To Mr Shanmuna

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—13 8 37

Rejects the appeal

MG Iyengar
20/6/38

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Misc)

NOTES.

File No. 146 – 36

FILE HEADING

Appeal petition of V. Shamanna - Kankanhalli

FROM

V. Shamanna residing at Kankanhalli

No. – Dated 10-11-1936 Received 19-11-1936

Diary No. 469 | Encls. 1 | Spare copies – | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Appeals against the Inam commissioner's order R.Dis 18/36-37 of 29.7.36 directing that the Inam lands of Malagu Sri Mahadeveswara Swami Temple be given on agrea amount for 20 years at Rs 15/- per acre.

= Any precedent? NOTES AND ORDERS of the Govt. order P.W.D. —

(2) The petr says that it is an appeal against the Inam Commr's order. No copy of the Inam Commr's decision is appended to the petn. If appeal, this should come for from the Revn. (Vide para 141 of the Ch. V. Petition ~~of the~~ Rules of the Manual of General Circulars and Standing Orders)

with a draft

Notes—First Sheet

WD 0245—GPB—2,500—23-8-32

No 687 of 23.11.36. to the petitioner Mr V. Shamanna - Tob - Kankanhalli stating that he has to produce a certified copy of the Magistrate Commissioner appealed against.

Certified copy of the Magistrate Commissioner's order no R Dis 18/36-37 of 29.7.36 produced by the petitioner -

5 As for P.U. D I, if appd records will be called for to examine the contention of the petner.

6 Re. P U D II, it is seen that there is a stray order petn bearing no stamp as required under the rules. Appd he will be informed that ~~he should~~ ~~furnish~~ a two Rupees ~~worth of~~ Court fee stamp should be attached to this petn.

After the view of the above order the file may be submitted to S. Terry for his perusal vide his remarks on the petition

6/1/37

The Mag Comr
no. 917 of 8-1-37
To the petn in dt for submission of entire records connected with the case

5 To Mr V. Shamanna
Kankanahalli

No 918 of 8 1-37

State that the stay order petn to be submitted with Rs 2 court fee stamp.

Letter no He 36/36-37 of 15/5/37 from the Mysore Commissioner submitting records connected with the appeal petition of V. Shamanna of Kankanhalli.

12 The records furnished by the M.C. contain a Mutchalika of 3.2.92 (Cancel Sahamu) executed before the late Mr. B. P. Madhava Rao the then D.C. Bangalore Dt; the executors of the Mutchalika are Syed Peer Sab, Hyderabad Sab, Aragayyada & Hussein Sab - these have stated therein that they land have been in their possession for a number of years, they are not prepared to give it to them, they are only prepared to pay to Govt for the temple at Bagayet rate of Rs 4/8 p.a, they would pay whatever crops they are allowed to enjoy the lands.

B.

13) As this Mutchalika obtained while the Camp was in Scaled, the assistant [illegible]

WD 0417 -GPB—30,000—6 9 36

returned the mutchalika to the D.C's Office stating that he would give effect to the terms of the mutchalika after it is sealed before the D.C. On 20-2-92 the mutchalika was sealed & returned to the Amildar for needful action & the same being entered in the temple accounts. The lands were later on measured & the assessment due by ryots on behalf of the temple has been fixed as follows:—

	Rs	As	P
Hanumanagappa Gowda	7	5	11 (including cesses)
Munavar Khan	11	12	1
Babaree v. Hussain Sab	6	9	2
Syed Peer	11	5	9
Total	37	0	11

This scheme is found written up by Subba Rao, in his diary & as orders issued on 19/3/92 are as follows:—

"Effect may be given as above from next year & pattas issued."

Para 4 of the memo in the same record partly explains the position & on 20/4/94 it has been noted on the same memo as follows:—

"Mr. Madhava Rao having disposed of the question of the land I shall not reopen it

x 4

Appeal re: lands belonging to Sri Mahadeswara Swami temple. Malagal village. Kankanhalli Tk.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Misc File No. 146 of 1936-7 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

The name of the appellant V. Shamanna or any of his ancestors does not appear in the records of 1855-[illegible]. That the income from the temple lands was not enough to meet the expenses of the Inst^n engaged the attention of the local officers in 1920, in spite of the [illegible] area endowed to it.

(4) The S.D.O's report of 1933 & the orders of the D.C. of 30/4/33 explain the view they took of the rights of the cultivators to the temple lands. As per directions of the D.C. the then S.D.O. has on 21.6.34 sent an exhaustive report proposing that the quit amount may be raised to Rs 15/- per acre & the lands leased to them for ten years. In reply he was instructed by the D.C. on 25/7/34 to enquire of the parties if they are agreeable to the above proposals. In para 4 of the S.D.O's report of Sepr 34 he has suggested that the quit amount fixed long ago should necessarily be revised; The previous records received from the T.C. do not refer to any quit [illegible] to fragment [illegible]

M.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0417 -GPB—30,000—8 9-36

Appeal re. lands belonging Mahadeswaraswamy Temple. Malagal V. Kankanhalli TK.

Mag. File No. 146 of 1936. General and Secretariat

Serial No.

by the holders in possession of the lands. The D.C. sought orders of the M.C. accordingly on 26/12/34 re: the action to be taken ~~[illegible]~~ in respect of these Inam lands of the temple. He has stated therein that the wordings of the Muchalika of 1892 seem to favour the contention of the tenants & in the face of this it would seem wholly inequitable to make them pay rents at an enhanced rate at this distance of time. The M.C. in reply has written to say that the order of the D.C. in 1892 cannot validly convey any rights of permanent tenancy to the signatories of the Muchalika & that in case they are not prepared to pay a fair rent they may be evicted & the lands leased out. (The powers of the D.C. in 1892 in regard to granting leases of temple lands have been discussed in the M.C's Office File D, No. 320/34-35.

Therefore the petr. now before Govt. other rights advanced before the M.C. for a revision of the order passed in the

Kankanhalli — re. [illegible]
Swami temple lands. —

General and Revenue Secretariat | Misc. File No. 3 of 1936-37 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

He has agreed on 29.7.36 that if the petrs agree to pay a rent of Rs 15/- per acre per year and also undertake not to alienate the lands they may be given leases for 20 years & that if they do not agree the previous order of eviction will be carried out.

It is against this that the petr is now in appeal before Govt; he prays that the existing rate may not be enhanced beyond 4 as per Rupee & that a permanent lease for 101 years may be given in view of Cocoa nut plantation he has raised on the lands. — Whether the order of the D.C. in 1892 is a valid one is also a matter to be determined in this connection but for now —

15) The lands in question are inam lands endowed to Sri Mahadeswaraswami temple at Malegal village, Kankanhalli Talukk. (Vide letter No. CS. 4887/33-34 dated 2nd January, 1935). The then Dy. Comr., Bangalore dt., had no right to grant such lands on a perpetual lease, which amounts to alienation — as in his order of 6th May, 1892, since the Chief Commr's notification No. 266 dated 17th [illegible], 1907 prohibits the alienation of inam lands of temples.

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

'X' Vide para 174 of the Revenue Manual, Vol. I.

W.D. 3317—GRD—80,000—S.9.34

8) Lease of inam lands of Sri temple at Thelasthanamanthalli Salem.

Proceedings File No. of 193 . General and Secretariat

Serial No.

lessees of the land claim any right to a perpetual lease under that order, the first proviso to Sec. 10 of the Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation requires such cases to be dealt with in accordance with the Chief Comr's notification of 17th Nov. 1877. As this is a case of alienation made subsequent to the 31st Jany. 1853, the Chief Comr's notification of 17th Nov. 1877 empowers Govt. to resume the inam lands and to restore them to the temple to which they were granted. (Vide para 274 of the Revenue Manual, Vol I). This seems to be the view of the Mysore Comr. also, who has stated in his letter No. D1 Dis. 330/34-35 dated 18th June 1935 that the Dy. Comr's order of 6th July 1892 cannot convey any rights of permanent tenancy to the persons in whose custody the lands now are and that the existing tenants may be evicted and the lands leased to other persons if they are not willing to pay a reasonable rent. The Mysore Comr. has in his subsequent order No. R. Dis. 18/36-7

× Pages 3 & 4 of Mysore's memorandum

T

V

Serial No.

V

dated 25th July 1936 ordered that if the petitioners agree to pay a rent of Rs 15 per acre per annum and undertake not to alienate the lands, the lands may be leased to them and that if they do not do so, they may be evicted in accordance with the Inamdar Comr.'s order of 18th June 1935. The petitioners have appealed against this order. They want to have the existing rate of rent, which is Rs 5 per acre per annum to be raised by 25 per cent and they want the lands to be permanently leased to them. As regards their objection to pay rent at Rs 15 per acre per annum fixed by the Inamdar Comr., this rate seems to have been as the Sub-Div. Officer reports that similar lands belonging to Sri Degilar Math in the same locality are leased at Rs 30

T

K

per acre. (Vide S.D.O.'s report dated 10.3.36). As regards the permanent lease of the lands requested by the appellants, permanent leases are considered to be alienations. (Vide page 232 of the Inamdar Manual). Alienation of inam [illegible]

10) Lease of the inam lands of Sri Mahadeswaraswami temple at Malegal, Hankanahalli Taluk.

Mysore File No. of 193

General and Re Secretariat

Serial No.

institutions is prohibited. (Vide sections 9 and 10 of the Mysore Regulation). Permanent alienable lease of inam lands of temples do not therefore seem to be permissible. The Law Section may however be consulted on this point. It is therefore a point for consideration whether there is any reason for interfering with the orders of the Mysore Govt. in this case.

Page 17-7-37

11) As regards the order of the D C Bangalore dated 6 2 1892, the M C is of the view that he doesn't also cannot validly convey any rights of permanent tenancy and if the persons now in possession of the Inam lands are unwilling to pay a fair rent, they may be evicted and the lands leased out to other people under standing rules. The M C again in his order dated 25th July 1936 stated that if the ryots agree to pay a rent of Rs 15 per acre for an acre and also undertake not to alienate them, the lands may be leased out to them for 20 years and if they don't agree the previous order of eviction will hold good. The ryots have no rights of permanent tenancy, and at best they are simply tenants at will, as such the proceed-

A petition of Shanmuga of Kankanhalli in respect of lands belonging to Mahadeswaraswami temple

(11)

General and Revenue Secretariat

Misc. File No. of 1936-7 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Condemnation of the petitioner's terms to be unreasonable, and the offer of the M.C. terms to call for no interference, and the petition may be rejected.

2/7/37

(Gen Secy)

GENERAL SECRETARY
2 - JULY 1937
3808

Are these lands waste? ~~It looks as if they were land held on the usual tenure~~

Does the rate of Rs 15 per acre take account of the fact that these people have to plant up the garden as trees die? The owner of a land or his tenant has to do this & the rent would depend on who has to do it. In this case does the temple do the replanting? If not the bagayat will be ruined property at the end of 20 yrs

[illegible]
6/7/37

The lands in question are waste lands. The idea in raising the quotation to Rs 15 was that the lands with reference to the same conditions as before viz that they would have agai

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000—8-9-36

File No. 146 of 1936 | General a Secretariat

Serial No.

the garden well. ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Presumably the amount has to do the replenishing is planted etc - The lease has to be treated as being subject to this condition. The old rate of rent was supposed to be raised as the value of the gardens in the vicinity had increased since the rent was last fixed

Govt Secy The condition will be difficult to enforce - Please ask M C how it is proposed to ensure that the vacancies are planted up as they occur and whether the rent has been fixed after taking counting the responsibility of the tenant to do this & how the responsibility will be enforced. 21/7/37

403
20.7.37

20

21) From Nelping clean copy 23/7

7

No 97 of 26.7.1937 ~~to the Mayor~~ Commissioner Calling for additional information

27/7

Appeal petn — re: land belonging to Sri (13)
Mahadeswaraswami temple - Hankanhal
VK

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muzr File No. 146 of 1936. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Letter no He 39/36-37 of 26.4.1938 from the Muzrai Commissioner furnishing information called for.

The S.D.O. has on 7.4.38 reported that the lessee should plant fresh ones as vacancies occur, that in this year low rate of gutta has been fixed & that periodical inspection of local officers ensures that vacancies are filled up by fresh plants & that failure on the part of the lessees to abide by the conditions imposed would result in the cancellation of the lease.

Information furnished is noted.

Refer to para 19 supra —

Sl 208 24. The Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt., has explained how the obligation of the tenants to fill up vacancies besides paying a gutta of Rs 15 per acre can be enforced. (Vide his letter No. C3 2811/36-37 dated 18th April 1938). The gutta has been fixed at Rs 15 per acre by the Muzrai Comr. presumably on the basis of the report of the sub-div. officer, Closepet, dated 10th March 1936.

25. Subject matter refer to para

15 415

EPAL SECT D
6485

NOTE—Contn Sheet

W D 0417—GPB—30-[illegible]

14) Appeal re: Inam lands of Sri Mahadeswara temple. Malagal village. Kankanhalli TK.

Mysore File No. 146 of 1938.

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

For perusal -

26 The Landless Temple lands though Mysore have been enjoyed by petitioners or their predecessors in title.

27 The M.C.'s order proposing to raise the rent a little in view of giving them petitioners a long lease is all right and does not call for interference.

28 The petition may be rejected.

Sd/ 19/5/38

First Member

29) 19/5 Sd/ 20/5/38

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
MAY 19 1938
No. 85705

Sd/ 20/5/38

Sd/ 20/5/38

No. 1484 of 23.5.1938 to Mr. V. Shamanna residing at Kankanhalli intimating that his appeal petition has been rejected.

Memo No. 1485 of 23.5.38 to the Mysore Commissioner returning the records received from him on the subject.

GENERAL SECRETARY
10 NOV. 1936

GENL. & REV. SECRETARY
469
11. NOV. 1936
MUZRAI BRANCH

TWO RUPEES

1 Perusm
10/11/36
Issue Fech
Any papers here - re this case?
10/11/36

ಸ್ಥಳೀಯಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಯೋಜನೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:- Mry 146 -:
1936-37 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಕಿಟಲ್ ಸರಾಯಿ / 29 ಪ್ರ-
ಲಾಭಾಂಶ -

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಕೆಂಪೇಗೌಡ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಹೆಸರಘಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೨೦೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸುಮಾರು ೦-೧೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಿಟಲ್ ಸರಾಯಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ R. ಡಿಸಿ 18/36-37 ದಿ 29-7-36 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಾಜು ಕೂಗಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೆಂಪೇಗೌಡ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಹೆಸರಘಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೨೦೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸುಮಾರು ೦-೧೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಿಟಲ್ ಸರಾಯಿ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ R. ಡಿಸಿ 18/36-37 ದಿ 29-7-36 ರಂತೆ ಹರಾಜು ಕೂಗಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 238 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ೦ — 21 ಗುಂಟೆಯನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ 1-8-0 ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 239 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 16 ಗುಂಟೆ ಶ್ರೀ 11-0-0 ಪೈಕಿ ಯಥೇಚ್ಛವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಿಟಲ್ ಸರಾಯಿ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ 15/- ರಂತೆ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕಿಟಲ್ ಸರಾಯಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 14-6-1892 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 366 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು - ಅದರಂತೆ ಲಾಭಾಂಶ - ಅಬಕಾರಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ - ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು - ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ -

ಹೀಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕಿಟಲ್ ಅಂಗಡಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ 15/- ರಂತೆ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ R. ಡಿಸಿ 18/36-37 ದಿ 29-7-36 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಾಜು ಕೂಗಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ -

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
545
4 - DEC 1936

Reference! —

This Office letter D, Dis No 330/34-35 of 18.6.35 to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District directing that if the persons in possession of lands belonging to the Sri Mahadeveswaraswamy temple at Indegal in the Ramanathalli-Taluk are willing to pay a fair rent they may be left in possession of the lands, action being taken otherwise to lease out the lands under standing orders.

Transfer to Land

3/14

petition of 3.9.1935 from Sri ee. Shamanna and others praying that they may be held as permanent tenants of the lands and that the bagayet rate of assessment prevailing in the locality may be levied from them and that in the alternative the lands may be leased to them for 50 years on payment of bagayet assessment or that a reasonable compensation may be paid to them and lands taken possession of by Government, they being permitted to remove the trees which they have admittedly planted.

Correspondence ending with letter No C3.978/35-36 of 26.3.36 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District stating that the question of permanent tenancy claimed by the petitioners is out of consideration, that their offer to pay the bagayet rate of assessment prevailing in the locality is preposterous in as much as the aggregate gutta will be less than the amount fixed in the Deputy Commissioner's order of 6.2.1892 and as the change of lease at the end of every ten years may result in the deterioration of lands, they may be leased to the present lessees i.e. the petitioners.

for 20 years for a

order No 12

There are no good orders already passed. If the petitioners agree to pay rent of Rs 15/- per acre per year and also undertake not to alienate the lands, they may be given leases for 20 years. If they do not agree the previous order of eviction will be carried out.

K. V. Ananarayana.
S/d. ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ Inam Commissioner

Copy applied on 2.12.36 by Mr. U. Shamanna.
Copy prepared on 2.12.36.
Copy delivered on 2-12-36.
No of words :- 315
Copying fees :- 0-8-0
Comparing fees :- 0-2-0.
Copied by H. Rama Rao.
Compared by S. Jayaramadas

"Copy"
[illegible]
Manager,
[illegible] office.

Section

File No

Serial No

Date and No. of letter replied to ..

No. 687

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Mr. C. Shamanna. residing at Kankanhalli.

Date of issue 23-1-37

Signed by .....

Was sent notice fixing 10/11/36 appeal against the R.D.O's order in the matter of the Inam land dismissed for the benefit of Sri Mahadev Swamy temple, Mr. C. Shamanna to inform Tas no certified copy of the R.D.O's decision appealed against has been submitted to this Court & there is any prospect the same within a fortnight.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 11th May 1937. *193*

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1165
15 MAY 1937
MUZRAI BRANCH

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Mus 146-36-6

T. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., I

Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

.....General....*Department,*

GENERAL SECRETARY.
15 MAY 1937

IR

Petition of V.Shamanna of Kankanhalli appealing against this office Order in regarding the alienation of the lands endowed to Sri Mahadeswaraswamy Temple at Malagal, Kankanahalli taluk.

Adverting to your memo No.917/Mus.116-36-4 dated the 8th January 1937, calling for the records in regarding the above, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire records received with the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.33. 2811/36-37 dated 3rd May 1937 (copy enclosed) as also this office files D1 Dis.330/34-35 and D.Dis 18/36-37, which explain

the facts of the case in full.

The petition received with your memo reference is returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Kuzrai Commissioner.

Section Misc

File No. 46-36

Serial No 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 1115

No. 97 Land Records with reply Dno 469-36

To

The M.C

Sir,

With ref. to your letter no HE 36/36-37 dt 15/3/37 submitting records relating to the ~~appeal~~ petn of ~~an~~ V. Shamanna of Kankanhalli ~~about lands endowed~~ appealing against your order relating to the alienation of the lands endowed for the temple of Sri Mahadeshwara-Swamy at Malgal Village, Kankanhalli Tk., I am directed to request you to be so good as to state how it is proposed to ensure that the vacancies in the posts are filled up as they occur and whether the rank has been fixed after taking into account ~~consider~~ the responsibility of the tenant to do this under so long the res

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 26-7-37

Signed by Mr S.K. Venkataramana Iyer

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Anita

Please send information on the following points:-

(1) Who is the person - tenant or the lessee - who should start with the garden as vacancies arise

(2) If it is the tenant, has the rent been fixed after taking account of the responsibility of the tenant to do this

(3) How is it proposed to ensure that the vacancies are presented with

(4) How must the responsibility of the tenant be enforced?

The council seems a — I may be wrong — to regard a rather [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Very [illegible] rec

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 77 ~~[illegible]~~ records
Muz 146-36-7 with letter Dno 469-36

Bangalore, Dated, 23rd July 1937.
26

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.H.C.36/36-37 dated 15th May 1937 submitting records relating to the petition of V. Shamanna of Kankanhalli appealing against your order relating to the lands endowed for the temple of Sri Mahadeswara wami at Malgal Village, Kankanhalli Taluk, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points:-

(1) who is the person, temple or the lessee, who should plant up the garden as vacancies arise,

(2) If it is the tenant, has the rent been fixed after taking count of the responsibility of the tenant to do this,

(3) how is it proposed to ensure that the vacancies are ~~worked~~ planted up

(4) and how will the responsibility of the tenant be enforced?

The connected records accompany for ~~report~~ reference and early reply is requested on return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

Aga/2.

Muz 146-36-8

181
No. ...ff.....C.....39/36-37
3 files —

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...26th April..... *1938* .

GENL & REV. SECTION
1235
29. APR. 1938
MUZRAI B.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Re. lands endowed to the Sri Mahadeswaraswamy Temple
at
Malagal Village, Kankanhalli Taluk.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
28 APR. 1938

With reference to your letter No.97/Muz.146-36-7 dated 26th July 1937, calling for certain information re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C5-2811/36-37 dated 18-4-1938, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, and to state that the information required on the various points has been furnished in the Deputy Commissioner's letter.

2. The entire file of records together with this office file No. R.Dis.18/36-37 and R.Ms.330/34-35 accompanies.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Anandaram

Assistant Commissioner,
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent on Casual Leave.)

Letter No.C3-2311/36-37 d ted 18-4-38 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

12

Subject:- Re. the resumption of the lands endowed to the Sri Mahadeswaraswami Temple at Malegal, Kankanhalli.

With reference to your Memo No.H.C.39/36-37 dated 4th August 1937, calling for a further report on the above subject, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Sub Division Officer, Closepet Sub Division, that as per the original contract, the lessee has to fill up the vacancies in the garden as and when they arise and the rent is reported to have been fixed after taking into consideration the amount of responsibility involved on the tenant, that the local officers should inspect the lands periodically and make themselves sure that the vacancies are filled up as and when they arise and that in case the lessees fail to abide by these conditions, the lease may be terminated and the lands resold afresh.

The entire records connected with the case are enclosed herewith for your kind reference.

"Copy"

M. N. Ananthan

For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT .....

Section Mu 3
File No. 146-36
Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to 1235

No. 1184

To

Mr V. Shamanna.
resident at Kankanhalli

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 23-5-36

Signed by Sd. V. Iyer

With ref to his petn of 10.4.36 appealing against the order of the M.C. in the matter of the lease ~~sale~~ of lands belonging to the temple of Sri Mahadeswara Swamy in Malagal Village, Kankanhalli VK., Mr V. Shamanna is informed that Govt see no reason to interfere with the order of the M.C. in the matter and that his appeal petn is rejected.

B.O.
[illegible]
For Gen Secy

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

P.T.O.

Memo no 14485/mg/Ucd/36 of 23.5.38 with records.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the M.C.

for information, with the ~~returning~~ records received vide his letter no. M.C. 39/36-37 of 26.4.1938 on the subject.

B.O.

×

For General

× The file has been signed by the Assistt. Sec. GS 20/5/38

GS 20/5/38

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

15

Dated, Bangalore, 22nd May 1938.

No. 1484 / Muzrai 146-36-9

ENDORSEMENT.

Mr. V. Shamanna,
Residing at Kankanhalli.

With reference to his petition dated 10th November 1936 appealing against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter of the lease of lands belonging to the temple of Sri Mahadeswara Swami in Malagal village Kankanhalli Taluk, Mr. V. Shamanna is informed that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter and that his appeal petition is rejected.

By Order,

Sd. C. Srinivasamurthy

General Secretary to Government.

P.T.O.